IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)) 01-cr-4004	17-JPG
KENDRICK D. LATHAM,)	
Defendant.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on defendant's *pro se* Motion for Clarification and Application Concerning Booker (Doc. 214).

The Court appointed counsel for defendant on December 11, 2008. As such the defendant is represented by the Federal Public Defender. "The right to representation by counsel and self-representation are mutually exclusive." *Cain v. Peters*, 972 F.2d 748, 750 (7th Cir.1992). So-called "hybrid representation" confuses and extends matters at trial and in other proceedings and, therefore, it is forbidden. *See United States v. Oreye*, 263 F.3d 669, 672-73 (7th Cir.2001).

In this case, the defendant has been granted representation by the Federal Public Defender. He is free to consult with his attorney in order to ensure that the Court is fully informed as to the specifics of his case and in order to ensure that the appropriate motions are filed. However, the Court will strike *pro se* motions by the defendant so long as he is represented by counsel.

Therefore, the Court **ORDERS** the defendant's *pro se* motion (Doc. 214) be **STRICKEN** from the record.

IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: April 30, 2009

> s/ J. Phil Gilbert J. PHIL GILBERT U.S. District Judge